



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/882,177

06/18/2001

Joong-con Seo

1293.1219

8000

49455 7590 09/04/2007

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

1400 EYE STREET, NW

SUITE 300

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3694

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/04/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/882,177

Applicant(s)

SEO ET AL.

Examiner

Mary Cheung

Art Unit

3694

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mary Cheung.

(3) _____.

(2) Seth Kim.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kullick - US 5,751,997.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argues that Kullick does not teach the server generates the date and time of the last update. Examiner disagrees because Kullick teaches the computer device 18 is responsible to send the updated information to other storage information. The applicant further argues that the server in the claimed invention is not the same as the computer device 18 in Kullick's teaching. Examiner points out that Kullick clearly states the computer device is not only limited to the personal computer, but can be other specialized computing device or peripheral (see column 4 line 66 - column 5 line 2); thus Kullick or at least a modified teaching of Kullick teaches this limitation. The applicant is advised to further amend the claim language for overcoming Kullick's teaching.